



Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard

Attestation of Compliance for Report on Compliance – Service Providers

Version 4.0.1

Publication Date: August 2024

PCI DSS v4.0.1 Attestation of Compliance for Report on Compliance – Service Providers

Entity Name: TheaterMania.com, Inc (d/b/a AudienceView Professional)

Date of Report as noted in the Report on Compliance: August 21, 2026

Date Assessment Ended: July 31, 2026

Section 1: Assessment Information

Instructions for Submission

This Attestation of Compliance (AOC) must be completed as a declaration of the results of the service provider's assessment against the *Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI DSS) Requirements and Testing Procedures* ("Assessment"). Complete all sections. The service provider is responsible for ensuring that each section is completed by the relevant parties, as applicable. Contact the entity(ies) to which this AOC will be submitted for reporting and submission procedures.

This AOC reflects the results documented in an associated Report on Compliance (ROC). Associated ROC sections are noted in each AOC Part/Section below.

Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined in this document have the meanings set forth in the PCI DSS Report on Compliance Template.

Part 1. Contact Information

Part 1a. Assessed Entity (ROC Section 1.1)

Company name:	TheaterMania.com, Inc
DBA (doing business as):	AudienceView Professional
Company mailing address:	200 Wellington St W, 2 nd Floor, Toronto, ON, Canada M5C 3C7
Company main website:	https://www.audienceview.com/
Company contact name:	Daymon Boswell
Company contact title:	Director, Internal Systems
Contact phone number:	416.687.2000
Contact e-mail address:	pci@audienceview.com daymonboswell@audienceview.com

Part 1b. Assessor (ROC Section 1.1)

Provide the following information for all assessors involved in the Assessment. If there was no assessor for a given assessor type, enter Not Applicable.

PCI SSC Internal Security Assessor(s)

ISA name(s):	Not Applicable
--------------	----------------

Qualified Security Assessor

Company name:	Prescient Security LLC
Company mailing address:	1900 Church Street, Suite 300, Nashville, TN 37203
Company website:	https://prescientsecurity.com/
Lead Assessor name:	Kevin Whalen
Assessor phone number:	+1 212-271-0175
Assessor e-mail address:	pci@prescientsecurity.com
Assessor certificate number:	PCI DSS QSA, Certificate Number: 202-230

Part 2. Executive Summary

Part 2a. Scope Verification

Services that were **INCLUDED** in the scope of the Assessment (select all that apply):

Name of service(s) assessed: AudienceView Professional

Type of service(s) assessed:

Hosting Provider:

- ☐ Applications / software
- ☐ Hardware
- ☐ Infrastructure / Network
- ☐ Physical space (co-location)
- ☐ Storage
- ☐ Web-hosting services
- ☐ Security services
- ☐ 3-D Secure Hosting Provider
- ☐ Multi-Tenant Service Provider
- ☐ Other Hosting (specify):

Managed Services:

- ☐ Systems security services
- ☐ IT support
- ☐ Physical security
- ☐ Terminal Management System
- ☐ Other services (specify):

Payment Processing:

- ☒ POI / card present
- ☒ Internet / e-commerce
- ☐ MOTO / Call Center
- ☐ ATM
- ☐ Other processing (specify):

☐ Account Management

☐ Fraud and Chargeback

☒ Payment Gateway/Switch

☐ Back-Office Services

☐ Issuer Processing

☐ Prepaid Services

☐ Billing Management

☐ Loyalty Programs

☐ Records Management

☐ Clearing and Settlement

☐ Merchant Services

☐ Tax/Government Payments

☐ Network Provider

☐ Others (specify): None

Note: These categories are provided for assistance only and are not intended to limit or predetermine an entity's service description. If these categories do not apply to the assessed service, complete "Others." If it is not clear whether a category could apply to the assessed service, consult with the entity(ies) to which this AOC will be submitted.

Part 2. Executive Summary *(continued)*

Part 2a. Scope Verification *(continued)*

Services that are provided by the service provider but were **NOT INCLUDED** in the scope of the Assessment (select all that apply):

Name of service(s) not assessed: Not Applicable

Type of service(s) not assessed:

Hosting Provider:

- ☐ Applications / software
- ☐ Hardware
- ☐ Infrastructure / Network
- ☐ Physical space (co-location)
- ☐ Storage
- ☐ Web-hosting services
- ☐ Security services
- ☐ 3-D Secure Hosting Provider
- ☐ Multi-Tenant Service Provider
- ☐ Other Hosting (specify):

Managed Services:

- ☐ Systems security services
- ☐ IT support
- ☐ Physical security
- ☐ Terminal Management System
- ☐ Other services (specify):

Payment Processing:

- ☐ POI / card present
- ☐ Internet / e-commerce
- ☐ MOTO / Call Center
- ☐ ATM
- ☐ Other processing (specify):

☐ Account Management

☐ Fraud and Chargeback

☐ Payment Gateway/Switch

☐ Back-Office Services

☐ Issuer Processing

☐ Prepaid Services

☐ Billing Management

☐ Loyalty Programs

☐ Records Management

☐ Clearing and Settlement

☐ Merchant Services

☐ Tax/Government Payments

☐ Network Provider

☐ Others (specify):

Provide a brief explanation why any checked services were not included in the Assessment:

Not Applicable

Part 2b. Description of Role with Payment Cards (ROC Sections 2.1 and 3.1)

Describe how the business stores, processes, and/or transmits account data.

Headquartered in Toronto, Canada, AudienceView Professional (AKA TheaterMania), formerly OvationTix, operates a ticketing, marketing, and fundraising platform. Professional offers a ticket purchasing solution for the theatre industry, museums, concerts, festivals, sporting venues, and similar events. As a service provider, AudienceView Professional offers this SaaS platform for clients to configure for their business purposes and includes the selection of payment processors.

AudienceView Professional also owns a merchant ID that clients can opt to use for payment processing

	(AudienceView Professional as a merchant, referred to as "Shared Merchant ID" in the web application). AudienceView Professional receives transactions via their bespoke application regardless of the payment channels used by the clients. AudienceView Professional does not store or process transactions. They transmit cardholder data to supported payment processors and tokenization services (TokenEx and Payment Service Providers). <u>Transmission of CHD</u> Card Not Present (e-Commerce) AudienceView accepts online e-commerce CNP transactions (PAN, Expiry, CVV) through a checkout page (fully managed by AudienceView) via an API integration into a technology service provider (TokenEx). All transmission of cardholder data is secured via HTTPS over TLS 1.2, and no CHD is stored by AudienceView after tokenization. Card Present (POS) Cardholder data is encrypted and transmitted directly from the box office checkout POI to payment processor for and authorization and settlement services. <u>Processing of CHD</u> Card Not Present (e-Commerce) Inbound transaction PANs, CVVs, and Expiry are received from the checkout page to the payment services application service, and the transaction is forwarded to the technology service provider (TokenEx) for tokenization and forwarding to the payment service providers for authorization and settlement. Card Present (POS) no processing of CHD by AudienceView. <u>Storage of CHD</u> AudienceView Professional does not store or process transactions. All CHD is stored either with the technology service provider or the payment service providers.
Describe how the business is otherwise involved in or has the ability to impact the security of its customers' account data.	Professional offers a ticket purchasing solution for the theatre industry, museums, concerts, festivals, sporting venues, and similar events. Card present transactions are received via a web browser through the AudienceView Professional application. The scope of this assessment does not include client-owned and managed systems including card swipers and computer systems used to enter cardholder data into the AudienceView Professional platform. IDTech Swiper (card swiper): is installed at the client site and connected to the client's system (computer). The transaction is not encrypted at the device, but data is sent to a web browser where it is encrypted using TLS

	1.2 or higher. These card swipe devices are not listed in the PCI SSC list of approved PTS devices and are therefore considered non-compliant devices. However, the management of the ID Tech devices is entirely the responsibility of the clients and not part of this assessment. MagTek Swiper (card swiper): is installed at the client site and connected to the client's system (computer). The transaction is encrypted within the MagTek device and transmitted to AudienceView Professional for processing via a web browser. The PS application transmits the encrypted data to Magensa for decrypting then transmits the clear text data to the payment processors for authorization. These card swipe devices are not listed in the PCI SSC list of approved PTS devices and are therefore considered non-compliant devices. However, the management of the ID Tech devices is entirely the responsibility of the AudienceView clients and not part of this assessment. Mobile Box Office: A MagTek swiper is attached to the mobile device using the headphone jack. The transaction is encrypted within the MagTek device and transmitted to the PS server for processing. The process is detailed in the MagTek Swiper description above. AudienceView Professional does not own or manage the swipers nor do they have access to the encryption keys. They are considered resellers only. Card-not-present transactions occur via the AudienceView Professional web application. Consumers and clients can enter cardholder data directly into the web application.
Describe system components that could impact the security of account data.	System Components include: • Cloudflare - Web Application firewall • Web Application Services - Containers • Azure Entra ID – Authentication and User Management Platform • Microsoft Defender for Endpoint – Malware protection solution and FIM • Cloud Sonar, Vera Code, and Zap – SAST • Vanta GRC Platform • Microsoft Defender for Cloud - internal vulnerability scanner, IDS / IDP

Part 2. Executive Summary *(continued)*

Part 2c. Description of Payment Card Environment

Provide a high-level description of the environment covered by this Assessment.

For example:

- *Connections into and out of the cardholder data environment (CDE).*
- *Critical system components within the CDE, such as POI devices, databases, web servers, etc., and any other necessary payment components, as applicable.*
- *System components that could impact the security of account data.*

All in-bound traffic passes securely (HTTPS TLS v1.2) first through Cloudflare Web Application Firewall services which are configured with security blocking rules and alerts.

Cloudflare provides HTTPS connectivity to the web application virtual services within Azure, that are protected with Azure Firewalls.

Web sites are presented to consumers and customers to purchase tickets. Purchasing CHD can be routed to the payment services and then to a tokenization technology service provider (for storage) and the payment gateways for transaction authorization and settlement.

Card present transactions are managed by clients and payment service providers to support the transmission of encrypted transactions directly from the POI to the payment service provider for decryption, authorization and settlement.

Azure application and infrastructure services are accessed by Administrators via Azure management console.

Web application services and administrator workstations are protected by MS Defender End Point security, which includes anti-malware and FIM for workstations and containers. The Azure cloud IDS / IPS and vulnerability scanning is provided by MS Defender Cloud.

All security event logs are forwarded to a 24/7 security operations center that utilizes LevelBlue SEIM.

Indicate whether the environment includes segmentation to reduce the scope of the Assessment.

(Refer to the "Segmentation" section of PCI DSS for guidance on segmentation)

☒ Yes ☐ No

Part 2d. In-Scope Locations/Facilities (ROC Section 4.6)

List all types of physical locations/facilities (for example, corporate offices, data centers, call centers and mail rooms) in scope for this Assessment.

Facility Type	Total Number of Locations (How many locations of this type are in scope)	Location(s) of Facility (city, country)
Azure Public Cloud Infrastructure as a Service	1	us-east Virginia, US

Part 2. Executive Summary *(continued)*

Part 2e. PCI SSC Validated Products and Solutions (ROC Section 3.3)

Does the entity use any item identified on any PCI SSC Lists of Validated Products and Solutions?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Provide the following information regarding each item the entity uses from PCI SSC's Lists of Validated Products and Solutions:

Name of PCI SSC validated Product or Solution	Version of Product or Solution	PCI SSC Standard to which Product or Solution Was Validated	PCI SSC Listing Reference Number	Expiry Date of Listing
Not Applicable.	Not Applicable.	Not Applicable.	Not Applicable.	Not Applicable.

* For purposes of this document, "Lists of Validated Products and Solutions" means the lists of validated products, solutions, and/or components, appearing on the PCI SSC website (www.pcisecuritystandards.org) (for example, 3DS Software Development Kits, Approved PTS Devices, Validated Payment Software, Point to Point Encryption (P2PE) solutions, Software-Based PIN Entry on COTS (SPoC) solutions, Contactless Payments on COTS (CPoC) solutions), and Mobile Payments on COTS (MPoC) products.

Part 2. Executive Summary *(continued)*

Part 2f. Third-Party Service Providers (ROC Section 4.4)

For the services being validated, does the entity have relationships with one or more third-party service providers that:

• Store, process, or transmit account data on the entity's behalf (for example, payment gateways, payment processors, payment service providers (PSPs, and off-site storage))	☒ Yes ☐ No
• Manage system components included in the entity's Assessment (for example, via network security control services, anti-malware services, security incident and event management (SIEM), contact and call centers, web-hosting companies, and IaaS, PaaS, SaaS, and FaaS cloud providers)	☒ Yes ☐ No
• Could impact the security of the entity's CDE (for example, vendors providing support via remote access, and/or bespoke software developers).	☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes:

Name of Service Provider:	Description of Services Provided:
Audienceview Inc	Corporate Shared Services
Microsoft Corporation – Microsoft Azure	Public Cloud Infrastructure as a Service
Cloudflare	DNS, WAF, and SSL
LevelBlue Unified Security Management (AlienVault)	SEIM
IXOPay Inc (formerly TokenEx)	CHD tokenization
Magensa LLC	POI CHD Encryption and Decryption
Authorize.NET	Payment processor
CardConnect LLC	Payment processor
MasterCard Payment Gateway Services	Payment processor
Paymentech LLC	Payment processor
PayPal Inc / PayPal	Payment processor

Note: Requirement 12.8 applies to all entities in this list.

Part 2. Executive Summary *(continued)*

Part 2g. Summary of Assessment (ROC Section 1.8.1)

Indicate below all responses provided within each principal PCI DSS requirement.

For all requirements identified as either “Not Applicable” or “Not Tested,” complete the “Justification for Approach” table below.

Note: One table to be completed for each service covered by this AOC. Additional copies of this section are available on the PCI SSC website.

Name of Service Assessed: AudienceView - Professional

PCI DSS Requirement	Requirement Finding More than one response may be selected for a given requirement. Indicate all responses that apply.				Select If a Compensating Control(s) Was Used
	In Place	Not Applicable	Not Tested	Not in Place	
Requirement 1:	☒	☒	☐	☐	☐
Requirement 2:	☒	☒	☐	☐	☐
Requirement 3:	☒	☒	☐	☐	☐
Requirement 4:	☒	☒	☐	☐	☐
Requirement 5:	☒	☒	☐	☐	☐
Requirement 6:	☒	☒	☐	☐	☐
Requirement 7:	☒	☒	☐	☐	☐
Requirement 8:	☒	☒	☐	☐	☐
Requirement 9:	☒	☒	☐	☐	☐
Requirement 10:	☒	☒	☐	☐	☐
Requirement 11:	☒	☒	☐	☐	☐
Requirement 12:	☒	☒	☐	☐	☐
Appendix A1:	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐
Appendix A2:	☐	☒	☐	☐	☐

Justification for Approach

For any Not Applicable responses, identify which sub-requirements were not applicable and the reason.

1.2.6 - AudienceView did not support the use of insecure services, protocols, or ports.
 1.3.3 - AudienceView did not support wireless environments that connected to the CDE.
 1.4.4 - AudienceView did not store CHD.
 2.2.5 - AudienceView did not support insecure services, daemons, or protocols were enabled.
 2.3.1, 2.3.2 - AudienceView did not support wireless environments that connect to the CDE.
 3.2.1, 3.5.1, 3.5.1.1, 3.5.1.2, 3.5.1.3 - AudienceView was not responsible for the secure storage of CHD.
 3.3.2 - SAD was never stored electronically in the AudienceView database.
 3.3.3 - AudienceView was not an issuer and did not support issuing services.
 3.4.1 - AudienceView did not have access to stored CHD and therefore had no need to mask the full PAN.
 3.4.2 - AudienceView had no have access to stored CHD and therefore had no need to protect PAN when using remote access technologies.
 3.6.1, 3.6.1.1, 3.6.1.2, 3.6.1.3, 3.6.1.4, 3.7.1, 3.7.2, 3.7.3, 3.7.4, 3.7.5, 3.7.6, 3.7.7, 3.7.8, 3.7.9 - AudienceView was not responsible for the management of cryptographic keys.
 4.2.1.2 - There were no wireless networks permitted for transmitting cardholder data.
 4.2.2 - AudienceView did not use end-user messaging technologies to send cardholder data.
 5.2.3, 5.2.3.1 - All systems in the CDE are protected by anti-virus software.
 5.3.2.1 - AudienceView endpoint security performs continuous malware scans.
 6.4.1 - This requirement was superseded by Requirement 6.4.2 after 31-March-2025.
 6.5.2 - AudienceView had no significant changes during the assessment period.
 7.2.6 - AudienceView had no access to stored CHD.
 8.2.2 - AudienceView did not use shared authentication credentials.
 8.2.3 - AudienceView did not have remote access to customer premises.
 8.2.7 - Vendors were not provided with access (local or remote) to the payment card environment.
 8.3.10 - This requirement was superseded by Requirement 8.3.10.1 as of 31-March-2025.
 8.3.10.1 - Customer didn't have access to stored account data and could not impact the security of the dataflows.
 9.4.1, 9.4.1.1, 9.4.1.2, 9.4.2, 9.4.3, 9.4.4, 9.4.5, 9.4.5.1, 9.4.6, 9.4.7 - AudienceView did not store CHD in physical media.
 9.5.1, 9.5.1.1, 9.5.1.2, 9.5.1.2.1, 9.5.1.3 - AudienceView was not responsible for the management of card reading devices.
 10.2.1.1 - AudienceView did not have access to stored CHD.
 10.7.1 - This requirement was superseded by Requirement 10.7.2 after 31-March-2025.
 11.2.2 - AudienceView did not support wireless access points within the cardholder data environment.

	11.3.1.3, 11.3.2.1 - There were no significant changes to the AudienceView system components during the assessment year. 11.4.7 - AudienceView clients were not required to perform external penetration testing of the services they use from AudienceView. 12.3.2 - There was no PCI DSS requirement that the AudienceView met with the customized approach. Appendix A1 – AudienceView was not considered a multi-tenant service provider as customers had no access to CHD and did not have access to the configuration of secure cardholder data flows. Appendix A2 – AudienceView did not support SSL or early TLS.
For any Not Tested responses, identify which sub-requirements were not tested and the reason.	Not Applicable.

Section 2 Report on Compliance

(ROC Sections 1.2 and 1.3)

Date Assessment began: Note: <i>This is the first date that evidence was gathered, or observations were made.</i>	06/04/2026
Date Assessment ended: Note: <i>This is the last date that evidence was gathered, or observations were made.</i>	07/31/2026
Were any requirements in the ROC unable to be met due to a legal constraint?	☐ Yes ☒ No
Were any testing activities performed remotely?	☒ Yes ☐ No

Section 3 Validation and Attestation Details

Part 3. PCI DSS Validation (ROC Section 1.7)

This AOC is based on results noted in the ROC dated August 21, 2026.

Indicate below whether a full or partial PCI DSS assessment was completed:

- ☒ **Full Assessment** – All requirements have been assessed and therefore no requirements were marked as Not Tested in the ROC.
- ☐ **Partial Assessment** – One or more requirements have not been assessed and were therefore marked as Not Tested in the ROC. Any requirement not assessed is noted as Not Tested in Part 2g above.

Based on the results documented in the ROC noted above, each signatory identified in any of Parts 3b-3d, as applicable, assert(s) the following compliance status for the entity identified in Part 2 of this document (*select one*):

☒	Compliant: All sections of the PCI DSS ROC are complete, and all assessed requirements are marked as being either In Place or Not Applicable, resulting in an overall COMPLIANT rating; thereby TheaterMania.com Inc (AudienceView Professional) has demonstrated compliance with all PCI DSS requirements except those noted as Not Tested above.								
☐	Non-Compliant: Not all sections of the PCI DSS ROC are complete, or one or more requirements are marked as Not in Place, resulting in an overall NON-COMPLIANT rating; thereby <i>Not Applicable</i> has not demonstrated compliance with PCI DSS requirements. Target Date for Compliance: <i>Not Applicable</i> An entity submitting this form with a Non-Compliant status may be required to complete the Action Plan in Part 4 of this document. Confirm with the entity to which this AOC will be submitted before completing Part 4.								
☐	Compliant but with Legal exception: One or more assessed requirements in the ROC are marked as Not in Place due to a legal restriction that prevents the requirement from being met and all other assessed requirements are marked as being either In Place or Not Applicable, resulting in an overall COMPLIANT BUT WITH LEGAL EXCEPTION rating; thereby <i>Not Applicable</i> has demonstrated compliance with all PCI DSS requirements except those noted as Not Tested above or as Not in Place due to a legal restriction. This option requires additional review from the entity to which this AOC will be submitted. <i>If selected, complete the following:</i> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Affected Requirement</th> <th>Details of how legal constraint prevents requirement from being met</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Affected Requirement	Details of how legal constraint prevents requirement from being met						
Affected Requirement	Details of how legal constraint prevents requirement from being met								

Part 3. PCI DSS Validation *(continued)*

Part 3a. Service Provider Acknowledgement

Signatory(s) confirms:

(Select all that apply)

☒	The ROC was completed according to <i>PCI DSS</i> , Version 4.0.1 and was completed according to the instructions therein.
☒	All information within the above-referenced ROC and in this attestation fairly represents the results of the Assessment in all material respects.
☒	PCI DSS controls will be maintained at all times, as applicable to the entity's environment.

Part 3b. Service Provider Attestation

DocuSigned by:  D7D89A10E36C41C...	
Signature of Service Provider Executive Officer ↑	Date: 8/21/2026
Service Provider Executive Officer Name: Nancy Galaski	Title: VP, People & Internal Systems

Part 3c. Qualified Security Assessor (QSA) Acknowledgement

If a QSA was involved or assisted with this Assessment, indicate the role performed:	☒ QSA performed testing procedures.
	☐ QSA provided other assistance. If selected, describe all role(s) performed:
DocuSigned by:  0B32514137D7445...	
Signature of Lead QSA ↑	Date: 8/21/2026
Lead QSA Name: Kevin Whalen	

DocuSigned by:  32DAEABBF317492...	
Signature of Duly Authorized Officer of QSA Company ↑	Date: 8/23/2026
Duly Authorized Officer Name: Sammy Chowdhury	QSA Company: Prescient Security LLC

Part 3d. PCI SSC Internal Security Assessor (ISA) Involvement

If an ISA(s) was involved or assisted with this Assessment, indicate the role performed:	☐ ISA(s) performed testing procedures.
	☐ ISA(s) provided other assistance. If selected, describe all role(s) performed:

Part 4. Action Plan for Non-Compliant Requirements

Only complete Part 4 upon request of the entity to which this AOC will be submitted, and only if the Assessment has Non-Compliant results noted in Section 3.

If asked to complete this section, select the appropriate response for “Compliant to PCI DSS Requirements” for each requirement below. For any “No” responses, include the date the entity expects to be compliant with the requirement and provide a brief description of the actions being taken to meet the requirement.

PCI DSS Requirement	Description of Requirement	Compliant to PCI DSS Requirements (Select One)		Remediation Date and Actions (If “NO” selected for any Requirement)
		YES	NO	
1	Install and maintain network security controls	☐	☐	
2	Apply secure configurations to all system components	☐	☐	
3	Protect stored account data	☐	☐	
4	Protect cardholder data with strong cryptography during transmission over open, public networks	☐	☐	
5	Protect all systems and networks from malicious software	☐	☐	
6	Develop and maintain secure systems and software	☐	☐	
7	Restrict access to system components and cardholder data by business need to know	☐	☐	
8	Identify users and authenticate access to system components	☐	☐	
9	Restrict physical access to cardholder data	☐	☐	
10	Log and monitor all access to system components and cardholder data	☐	☐	
11	Test security systems and networks regularly	☐	☐	
12	Support information security with organizational policies and programs	☐	☐	
Appendix A1	Additional PCI DSS Requirements for Multi-Tenant Service Providers	☐	☐	
Appendix A2	Additional PCI DSS Requirements for Entities using SSL/early TLS for Card-Present POS POI Terminal Connections	☐	☐	

Note: The PCI Security Standards Council is a global standards body that provides resources for payment security professionals developed collaboratively with our stakeholder community. Our materials are accepted in numerous compliance programs worldwide. Please check with your individual compliance accepting organization to ensure that this form is acceptable in their program. For more information about PCI SSC and our stakeholder community please visit: https://www.pcisecuritystandards.org/about_us/